



HSARPA Overview

**Paul Benda
Director, HSARPA
October 10th, 2012**

UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO

DHS S&T Mission

Strengthen America's security and resiliency by providing knowledge products and innovative technology solutions for the Homeland Security Enterprise






Goal 1: Transition to Use

Rapidly develop and deliver knowledge, analyses, and innovative solutions that advance the mission of the Department

- Provide knowledge, technologies, and science-based solutions that are integrated into homeland security operations, employing 24-36 month innovation cycles from project inception through operational testing
- Strengthen relationships with DHS components to better understand and address their high-priority requirements
- Become “best-in-class” at technology foraging – find and use what’s out there; encourage and enable multidisciplinary teams
- Focus on rigorous project selection and regular review of the entire R&D portfolio
- Implement processes that strengthen project management, evaluation, and accountability within the Directorate



UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO



HSARPA Technical Divisions



- **Borders and Maritime Security Division** - Prevent contraband, criminals and terrorists from entering the U.S. while permitting the lawful flow of commerce and visitors
- **Chemical/Biological Defense Division** - Detect, protect against, respond to, and recover from potential biological or chemical events
- **Cyber Security Division** - Create a safe, secure and resilient cyber environment
- **Explosives Division** - Detect, prevent and mitigate explosives attacks against people and infrastructure
- **Human Factors/Behavioral Sciences Division** - Identify and analyze threats, enhance societal resilience, and integrate human capabilities in technology development
- **Infrastructure Protection & Disaster Management Division** - Strengthen situational awareness, emergency response capabilities and critical infrastructure protection



HSARPA Evolution

- HSARPA evolving
 - Technology development and integration
 - Less focus on basic research
- Understand and define Operational Context
 - Develop systems analysis for requirements
 - Identify technology opportunities
- Develop empirical metrics for programs
 - Efficiency Impact
 - Capability Impact
 - Return on Investment
- Transition products to the field – transition = operational use + ownership
- Operational Pilots – S&T will fund through deployment



HSARPA Mission

- Protect America and save money
- Be an information and technology clearinghouse for homeland security enterprise
 - Best practices
 - Technologies
- Impact operations across the homeland security enterprise

Operational Focus	Scoring Guidelines			
	1	4	7	10
Systems Analysis: How well does the project's product(s) align with a customer's existing operational context/concept of use or an alternative that is agreeable to the customer?	Many Questions – Solution seems decoupled from the operational context or concept of use	Some Concerns – Solution clearly could play a role in the operational context or concept of use, but questions remain about exactly how it will enable operations	Good Fit – Solution is an integral part of the operational context/concept of use	Key Enabler – Solution is a central component of the operational context/concept of use – without this effort it is unlikely the concept of use can be achieved
Customer Buy-in: Have the project objectives been developed through close consultation with appropriate decision authorities?	Many Unknowns – Project objectives remain in flux	Some Uncertainty – Customer representative and S&T have agreed to and documented project objectives	Mostly Clear – Customer representative with decision authority and S&T have agreed to and documented project objectives	Solid – Decision authority involvement and formal transition agreement in place

Innovation				
Efficiency: What level of savings can be achieved by this project with respect to the customer's operations?	Unknown/None – Too many factors to assess accurately; no confidence in savings estimate(s); no cost savings anticipated	Limited – Savings may be possible, but they are of limited scope or the path to their achievement is unclear	Meaningful – Savings will definitely result from this effort and their magnitude will be meaningful within the context of the customer's operations	Significant Impact – The projected savings are such that the nature of the customer's operations will be dramatically streamlined (changed)
Capability: To what extent does this project provide risk or threat reduction and/or improved fidelity, performance, etc.?	Unknown/None – Does not meaningfully or measurably improve the existing operations	Incremental Improvement – Measurable and meaningful improvement to operations	Moderate Improvement – The nature of the improvement significantly enhances operations	"Game Changer" – The capability fielded is so advanced it effectively eliminates the need for further work in this area (for the immediate future)
Novel Approach: Does the project attempt to realize its objectives in a way that others have not previously considered or exploited?	No – Follows a similar pattern of thinking used in the past; tried and true technologies/methods	Next Logical Step – Uses an existing approach, but with new technologies/methods or uses existing technologies/methods in a new application	Fresh Perspective – Incorporates significant novel thinking in the utilization and exploitation of technology/methods or integrates existing technologies/methods to create a new capability	Revolutionary – Significant departure from prior utilization of technology/methods; has the potential to revolutionize the field
Technical Feasibility: Is this a feasible project given the current state of science/technology/research?	Very Difficult – Many unknowns	Difficult – Some significant questions exist	Achievable – Modest technical uncertainty	Sure Thing – Very high probability of technical success

Note: Values can be assigned between descriptor numerical scores, i.e., 2,3,5,6,8,9

v051512

Partnerships	Scoring Guidelines			
	1	4	7	10
Resource Leverage: What level of commitment exists between the project team and the target component or customer? (Resource leverage may also be through interagency, international, academia and/or industry relationships)	None/Minimal – Component/customer is aware of the project, but is unininvolved	Modest Commitment – Component/customer is in active discussions with the project team, but not currently providing resource support	Strong Commitment – Component/customer is actively working with S&T to plan for transition and is providing significant in-kind ⁽¹⁾ and/or monetary support	Joint Effort – Over half of the project funds are provided by the component/customer
Foraging: Does the project exploit existing technology or research, and/or new or existing partnerships (interagency, international, academia, industry) to minimize time and expense?	Questions Exist – No indication requisite due diligence has been performed	No Opportunity – Due diligence complete, but no opportunities identified	Verified Opportunity – Project team has identified existing technology , research, and/or partnerships that can be used or re-purposed to directly facilitate a cost and time effective solution	Exploitation Underway – Project team has obtained access to existing technology research, and/or partnerships that will directly facilitate a cost and time effective solution
Project Quality				
Project Clarity: How well is the project described, laid-out – is it clear what the team will do? Is the problem well defined and the approach clear? Has a letter of intent or TTA been obtained?	Lacks Clarity – Difficult to know what will result	Some Confusion – Documentation is incomplete or poorly detailed; many uncertainties	Straightforward – Well documented project, most aspects can be easily understood	Transparent – Project documentation is clear and easily understood – effort “makes sense”
Cost Realism: Is the cost projection credible?	Insufficient Information – Insufficient information provided to make an assessment	Ballpark – Projection is probably in the “ballpark”, but could benefit from greater detail	Substantiated – Project team has made an obvious attempt to build a detailed cost analysis	On the Mark – Very high credibility, robust analysis, projection makes sense
Timeline: When will the project achieve either an efficiency or capability improvement, as defined on the previous page, as part of normal operations? (Ex: hand over of prototype for operational use would qualify) or When will the 1 st demonstration of the capability/efficiency be observed in an operational context? ⁽²⁾	Far-term (Five or more years)	Mid-term (3-4 years)	Near-term (1-2 years)	Imminent (Less than a year)
Transition Likelihood: Is there a clear path/mechanisms to enable transition/commercialization? Customer readiness? Are there any secondary issues related to the concept of use, proponency, budgeting, affordability, regulatory or statutory realities, or business value?	Unlikely – Transition and use of results is unlikely	Somewhat Likely – Much more has to happen to enable transition and use of results	Likely – Most obstacles to transition and use of results have been overcome and/or project is in pilot	Very Likely – Customer has budgeted for technology and commercialization plan is in place and being executed

Notes: 1) In-kind support defined as commitment of personnel, facilities, and/or funding for demonstrations that are representative of at least 10% of project cost; 2) The Timeline criteria is scored based on the categories provided; numerical scores are not provided for this criteria. All other criteria are assessed with numerical scores and can be assigned between descriptor numerical scores, i.e., 2,3,5,6,8,9



What does this mean for Performers

- Impact on Performers
 - Focus must be on transitioning products to use
 - Commercialization plans
 - Cost-sharing
 - Understanding the market and developing partnerships
 - Must understand the operational context working within
 - Be able to calculate efficiency and capability impact
 - Understand, calculate and defend ROI
 - Operating in a different world with extremely constrained budgets



Homeland Security

Science and Technology